## IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE DISTRICT OF PUERTO RICO

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,

Plaintiff,

CRIMINAL NO. 15-375 (GAG)

v.

RYAN RAMCHARAN (6),

Defendant.

## REPORT AND RECOMMENDATION

Defendant Ryan Ramcharan [6] was charged in two counts of an Indictment and he agreed to plead guilty to Count One of the Indictment. Count One charges Defendant with a conspiracy to possess with the intent to distribute one thousand kilograms or more of a mixture or substance containing a detectable amount of marihuana, a Schedule I Narcotic Drug Controlled Substance, on board a vessel subject to the jurisdiction of the United States, in violation of Title 46 U.S.C. Secs. 70503(a)(1), 70504(b)(1) and 70506(a) and (b). The parties agree that the Defendant will be held accountable for a lower marihuana amount than articulated in the Indictment. Namely, at least 700 kgs of marihuana but less than 1000 kgs of marihuana.

On May 4, 2017, defendant appeared before this Magistrate Judge, since the Rule11 hearing was referred by the Court. Defendant was provided with a Waiver of Right to Trial by Jury, which he signed and agreed upon voluntarily after examination in open court, under oath.

Defendant indicated and confirmed his intention to plead guilty to Count One of the Indictment, upon being advised of his right to have said proceedings before a district

Criminal No. 15-375 (GAG)

Report and Recommendation

Page 2

judge of this court.¹ Upon verifying through defendant's statement his age, education

and any relevant aspect as to the use of medication, drugs, alcohol or substance

dependency, and psychological or psychiatric condition, to ascertain his capacity and

ability to understand, answer and comprehend the interactive colloquy with this

Magistrate Judge, a determination was made as to defendant's competency and ability to

understand the proceedings.

Having further advised defendant of the charges contained in the above-stated

Count One, he was examined and verified as being correct that: he had consulted with his

counsel Francisco Adams-Quesada, prior to the hearing for change of plea, that he was

satisfied with the services provided by his legal representative and had time to discuss

with him all aspects of the case, insofar, among other things, regarding the change of plea,

the consent to proceed before a United States Magistrate Judge, the content of the

Indictment and the charges therein, his constitutional rights and the consequences of the

waiver of same.

Defendant was specifically apprised by this Magistrate Judge that, upon

withdrawing his initial plea of not guilty and now entering a plea of guilty to the charge

specified, he was waiving his right to a public, speedy, and a trial by jury constituted by

twelve jurors who have to unanimously agree to a verdict. He was also waiving his right

to be presumed innocent and for the government to meet the obligation of establishing

his guilt beyond a reasonable doubt. Furthermore, he was waiving his right during said

trial to confront the witnesses who were to testify against him and be able to cross-

<sup>1</sup> The form entitled Consent to Proceed before a United States Magistrate Judge in a Felony Case for Pleading Guilty (Rule 11, Fed.R.Crim.P.) and Waiver of Jury Trial, signed and consented by both parties is made part of the record.

Criminal No. 15-375 (GAG)

Report and Recommendation

Page 3

examine them, through counsel at said trial, as well as present evidence on his behalf.

He was also waiving the right to compel the attendance of witnesses and that subpoenas

be issued to have them appear in court to testify. Defendant was specifically apprised of

his right to take the stand and testify, if he so decided, or not to testify, and no inference

or decision as to his guilt could be made from the fact if he decides not to testify.

Defendant was also explained his right not to incriminate himself; that upon such a waiver

of all above-discussed rights a judgment of guilty and his sentence were to be based on

his plea of guilty, and he would be sentenced by the judge after considering the

information contained in a pre-sentence report.

As to all the above, defendant provided an individualized and positive

acknowledgment of each and every waiver and, with the assistance of his counsel,

indicated he freely and voluntarily waived those rights and understood the consequences.

During all this colloquy, defendant was made aware that he could freely request from this

Magistrate Judge any additional clarification, repetition, or ask questions and that he may

consult with his attorney at any given time as to any issue.

Defendant expressed his understanding of the penalties prescribed by statute for

the offenses as to which he was pleading guilty. The penalty is a term of imprisonment

which may not be less than five (5) years and not more than forty (40) years; and a term

of supervised release of at least four (4) years. The Court may impose a fine not to exceed

the greater of that authorized in accordance with the provisions of Title 18, <u>United States</u>

<u>Code</u>, or \$10,000,000.00.

Defendant agrees to pay a special monetary assessment of one hundred dollars (\$100.00), per count of conviction to be deposited in the Crime Victim Fund, pursuant to

Title 18, United States Code, Section 3013(a).

Having ascertained directly from defendant that he had not been induced in any way to plead guilty, that no one had forced him in any way to plead guilty, nor that he had been offered any reward or any other thing of value to get him to plead guilty, the document entitled "Plea and Forfeiture Agreement (Pursuant to Rule 11(c)(1)(A) & (B) FRCP)" ("the Agreement") and the "Plea Agreement Supplement" 2 were shown to

Pursuant to said Agreement, and insofar as Count One, as to which defendant

defendant, verifying his signature and initials on each and every page.

already was aware of the maximum possible penalties, defendant was apprised that it was

up to the sole discretion of the sentencing court what the sentence to be imposed on him

will be. Defendant was specifically informed that if the sentencing court were to impose

a sentence which turned out to be higher or more severe than the one he might be

expecting, for said reason alone, defendant would have no grounds for the court to allow

him to withdraw his plea of guilty.

The United States of America and Defendant stipulate for purposes of this plea

agreement that Defendant shall be accountable for possessing at least 700 kilograms of

marihuana but less than 1,000 kilograms of marihuana, on board a vessel subject to the

jurisdiction of the United States.

<sup>2</sup>Defendant acknowledged discussing the "Plea Agreement Supplement" with his counsel and stated he understood the terms and consequences of the same. Defense counsel recognized he explained to defendant the content of the "Plea Agreement Supplement" and explained to defendant its consequences.

Criminal No. 15-375 (GAG)

**Report and Recommendation** 

Page 5

The above-captioned parties' estimate and agreement that appears on page four (4), paragraph nine (9) of the Agreement, regarding the possible applicable advisory Sentencing Guidelines, were further elaborated and explained. As to Count One, pursuant to U.S.S.G. §2D1.1 (a)(6) and 2D1.1(c)(4), the Base Offense Level is Twenty-Eight (28) inasmuch as the parties stipulate that Defendant is responsible for the possession of at least 700 kilograms of marihuana but less than 1,000 kilograms of marihuana. Pursuant to U.S.S.G. §3E1.1 (a)&(b), a decrease of three (3) levels is agreed for timely acceptance of responsibility when an offense level is 16 or more. Therefore, the Total Offense Level is of Twenty-Five (25), yielding an imprisonment range of fiftyseven (57) to seventy-one (71) months assuming a Criminal History Category of I; sixtythree (63) to seventy-eight (78) months assuming a Criminal History Category of II; seventy (70) to eighty-seven (87) months assuming a Criminal History Category of III; eighty-four (84) to one hundred and five (105) months assuming a Criminal History Category of IV; one hundred (100) to one hundred and twenty-five (125) months assuming a Criminal History Category of V, and one hundred and ten (110) to one hundred and thirty-seven (137) months assuming a Criminal History Category of VI.

The parties do not stipulate any assessment as to Defendant's Criminal History Category.

As stipulated, Defendant acknowledged that there is a five (5) year mandatory statutory minimum sentence, pursuant to 21 U.S.C. § 960(b)(2)(G) because the stipulated amount of the marihuana seized exceeded 100 kilograms.

The United States and the Defendant, after considering the advisory Sentencing Guidelines and all applicable sentencing factors in Title 18, <u>United States Code</u>, Section 3553, reserve the right to recommend a sentence of the mandatory minimum of sixty (60)

Criminal No. 15-375 (GAG)

Report and Recommendation

Page 6

months.

The United States and the defendant agree that no further adjustments or

departures may be sought by either party and that any request by defendant for an

adjustment or departure will be consider a material breach of this plea agreement.

The United States agrees that they will move to dismiss any remaining counts of

the Indictment in exchange for Defendant's plea of guilty to Count One.

As part of the written Agreement, the government, the defendant, and his counsel

also agreed they are aware that the Sentencing Guidelines are no longer mandatory and

are thus considered advisory.

The government presented to this Magistrate Judge and to defendant, assisted by

his counsel, a summary of the basis in fact for the offenses charged and the evidence the

government had available to establish, in the event defendant had elected to go to trial,

the commission of the offense, beyond a reasonable doubt. Counsel and defendant

acknowledged the evidence of the government was fully disclosed to them and previously

discussed between the two. Defendant was also read and shown a document entitled

"Government's Version of the Facts", which had been signed by defendant and his counsel

and is attached to the Agreement, wherein the signature of counsel for the government

also appears. Defendant was able to understand the explanation and agreed with the

government's submission.

Defendant understands that the Agreement with the government does not bind

any other district, except the district of Puerto Rico, and it contained all the promises,

terms and conditions which defendant, his attorney and the government, have entered.

Criminal No. 15-375 (GAG)

Report and Recommendation

Page 7

Pursuant to Title 46, <u>United States Code</u>, § 70507, Defendant agrees to forfeit all

of his right, title, and interest in the following property (hereafter, collectively, the

"Property"): AT-SEA CONVEYANCE, to wit: the F/V CARIBBEAN SOUL, a 166 foot,

fishing vessel of 608 gross tons with an IMO number 8836261, having a blue hull and

white superstructure at time of seizure.

Defendant acknowledges that forfeiture is part of the sentence that may be

imposed in this case and hereby agrees to the entry of an order of forfeiture at the time of

sentencing. As part of Defendant's Plea Agreement with the United States, Defendant

consents to and agrees not to contest the forfeiture of the government.

Defendant is an alien. Defendant acknowledged that pleading guilty and entering

into this plea may have negative effects upon Defendant's immigration status with the

United States and this may include deportation or removal. Defendant indicated he

discussed with his counsel the immigration consequences and that he understood them.

Defense counsel averred he explained the immigration consequences to Defendant.

Having once more ascertained that defendant has indicated not being induced to

plead guilty, and was entering such a plea because in fact he is guilty, without any

promises or predictions being made as to the sentence to be imposed by the court,

defendant was informed that parole has been abolished under the advisory Sentencing

Reform Act and that any sentence of imprisonment would be served, without him being

released on parole. Defendant was additionally informed that prior to sentence, the

sentencing judge will have a pre-sentence report and that it would be made available to

him, to his counsel and to the government, so that they be allowed to correct or object to

any information contained in said report which was not accurate.

Criminal No. 15-375 (GAG)

Report and Recommendation

Page 8

Defendant was informed that he can appeal his conviction if he believes that his guilty plea was somehow unlawful or involuntary or if there is some other fundamental defect in the proceedings which was not waived by his guilty plea. Defendant was also informed that he has a statutory right to appeal his sentence under certain circumstances particularly if the sentence is contrary to law. With few exceptions, any notice of appeal must be filed within fourteen (14) days of judgment being entered in the case. Defendant was also apprised the right to appeal is subject to certain limitations allowed by law because his Plea Agreement contains a waiver of appeal in paragraph eleven (11) which was read to defendant in open court. Defendant recognized having knowledge of the waiver of appeal, discussing the same with his counsel and understanding its consequences. Defense counsel acknowledged discussing the waiver of appeal and its consequences with his client.

Defendant waived the reading of the Indictment. Defendant indicated that he is aware of its content and indicated that he availed himself of the opportunity to further discuss same with his attorney and then he positively stated that what was contained in Count One, and was what he had done and to which he was pleading guilty during these proceedings. Thereafter, defendant expressed in no uncertain terms that he agreed with the government's evidence as to his participation in the offense. Thereupon, defendant indicated he was pleading guilty to Count One of the Indictment in Criminal No. 15-375 (GAG).

Criminal No. 15-375 (GAG)

Report and Recommendation

Page 9

This Magistrate Judge after having explained to the defendant his rights,

ascertaining that he was acting freely and voluntarily to the waiver of such rights and in

his decision of pleading guilty, with full knowledge of the consequences thereof, and there

being a basis in fact for such a plea, is recommending that a plea of guilty be entered as to

Count One of the Indictment in Criminal No. 15-375 (GAG).

IT IS SO RECOMMENDED.

The sentencing hearing is set for August 28, 2017 at 9:00 a.m. before Honorable

Gustavo A. Gelpí, District Judge.

The parties have fourteen (14) days to file any objections to this report and

recommendation. Amended Fed. R. Crim P. 59 (b)(2). See also Amended Local Rules.

Failure to file same within the specified time waives the right to appeal this order.

Henley Drilling Co. v. McGee, 36 F.3d 143, 150-151 (1st Cir. 1994); United States v.

Valencia, 792 F.2d 4 (1st Cir. 1986). See Paterson-Leitch Co. v. Mass. Mun. Wholesale

Elec. Co., 840 F.2d 985, 991 (1st Cir. 1988).

In San Juan, Puerto Rico, this 5th day of May of 2017.

s/ CAMILLE L. VELEZ-RIVE CAMILLE L. VELEZ-RIVE

UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE